

10/624,768



-1-

IFW
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Eric R. Mueller et al.

Application No.: 10/624,768

Filed: July 21, 2003

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
PROVIDING MULTIPLE
INDEPENDENTLY CONTROLABLE
BEAMS FROM A SINGLE LASER
OUTPUT BEAM

Confirmation No.: 6773

Group Art Unit: 2828

Examiner: Unknown

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT**

353 Sacramento Street, Suite 2200
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 772-4900
Facsimile: (415) 398-2890

M/S AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited
with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an
envelope, addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on Dec. 6, 2004.

STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP

Dated: 12/6 /2004

By: *Georgia K. Stith*

Georgia K. Stith

Sir:

Applicant(s) submit(s) herewith patents, publications or other information [attached hereto and listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 (modified)] of which they are aware, which they believe(s) may be material to the examination of this application and in respect of which there may be a duty to disclose in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.56.

This Information Disclosure Statement:

- (a) ☐ accompanies the new patent application submitted herewith. 37 CFR § 1.97(a).
- (b) ☐ is filed within three months after the filing date of the application or within three months after the date of entry of the national stage of a PCT application as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.491.

Atty Docket No.: COHO-5000

- (c) ☒ as far as is known to the undersigned, is filed before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits, or before a first office action after filing a Request for Continued Examination under §1.114.
- (d) ☐ is filed after the first office action and more than three months after the application's filing date or PCT national stage date of entry filing but, as far as is known to the undersigned, prior to the mailing date of either a final rejection or a notice of allowance, whichever occurs first, and is accompanied by either the fee (\$180) set forth in 37 CFR § 1.17(p) or a certification as specified in 37 CFR § 1.97(e), as checked below.
- (e) ☐ is filed after the mailing date of either a final rejection or a notice of allowance, whichever occurred first, and the Issue Fee has not been paid, and is accompanied by the fee (\$130) set forth in 37 CFR § 1.17(i)(1) and a certification as specified in 37 CFR § 1.97(e), as checked below. This document is to be considered as a petition requesting consideration of the information disclosure statement.

[If either of boxes (d) or (e) is checked above, the following "certification" under 37 CFR § 1.97(e) may need to be completed.] The undersigned certifies that:

- (f) ☐ Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication mailed from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.
- (g) ☐ No item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was cited in a communication mailed from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.

A list of the patent(s) or publication(s) is set forth on the attached Form PTO-1449 (Modified).

A copy of the items on PTO-1449 (Modified) is supplied herewith, except as noted below.

Those patent(s) or publication(s) which are marked with an asterisk (*) in the attached form PTO-1449 (Modified) are not supplied because they: (a) are either U.S. Patents or published U.S. patent applications; (b) are a U.S. patent application, the image of which is stored in the USPTO's file wrapper (IFW) system; or (c) were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in a prior application no. _____, filed _____, and relied upon in this application for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120.

A concise explanation of relevance of the items listed on form PTO-1449 (Modified) is:

- (h) ☒ not given
- (i) ☐ given for each listed item
- (j) ☐ given for only non-English language listed item(s) [Required]
- (k) ☐ is in the form of an English language copy of a Search Report from a foreign patent office, issued in a counterpart application, which refers to the relevant portions of the references [copy attached].

The Examiner is reminded that a "concise explanation of the relevance" of the submitted items "may be nothing more than identification of the particular figure or paragraph of the patent or publication which has some relation to the claimed invention," MPEP § 609.

While the information and references disclosed in this Information Disclosure Statement may be "material" pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.56, it is not intended to constitute an admission that any patent, publication or other information referred to therein is "prior art" for this invention unless specifically designated as such.

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.97(g), the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement shall not be construed to mean that a search has been made or that no other material information as defined in 37 CFR § 1.56(a) exists. It is submitted that the Information Disclosure Statement is in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.98 and MPEP § 609 and the Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the listed references.


- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge our Deposit Account No. 50-1703, under Order No. COHO-5000, for any fees required in connection with the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. **A duplicate copy of this Notice is enclosed for this purpose.** In particular, in the event that an Office Action has crossed in the mail with this Information Disclosure Statement, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the

above-named deposit account for any fees required pursuant to CFR §§ 1.17(p) or 1.17(i)(1).

Respectfully submitted,


STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP

Dated: 12/6/04

By: 

Michael A. Stallman
Reg. No. 29,444

Attorneys for Applicant(s)



INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION
(Use several sheets if necessary)

Docket Number (Optional)

COHO-5000

Application Number

10/624,768

Applicant(s)

Eric R. Mueller et al.

Filing Date

July 21, 2003

Group Art Unit

2828

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*EXAMINER INITIAL	REF	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE
	*AA	3,727,062	04/10/1973	Foster	250	199	03/10/1972
	*AB	US 2002/0085085	07/04/2002	Fischer et al.	347	239	12/17/2001

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	REF	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION	
							YES	NO
	AC	54-128359	04/10/1979	Japan	G02F	1/01	X	

OTHER DOCUMENTS

(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

	AD	D.C. Thompson et al., "High-speed random access laser tuning," <i>Applied Optics</i> , Vol. 38, No. 12, 20 April 1999, pp. 2545-2553.
	AE	D.C. Thompson et al., "Acousto-Optically Tuned Isotopic CO ₂ Lasers for Long-Range Differential Absorption LIDAR," <i>Proceedings of the SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering</i> , Vol. 3383, April 1998, pp. 33-44.

Examiner

Date Considered

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.